

EFFECT OF '61-POINTS RELAXATION TECHNIQUE' ON STRESS PARAMETERS IN PREMENSTRUAL SYNDROME

JYOTI DVIVEDI[□], SANJAY DVIVEDI^{**}, K. K. MAHAJAN^{*},
SUNITA MITTAL[#] AND ANIL SINGHAL^{***}

*Departments of *Physiology, **Plastic Surgery, and ***Neurosciences,
Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences,
Jolly Grant, Dehradun – 248 140*

and

*#Department of Physiology,
Shri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences,
Dehradun – 248 001*

(Received on December 30, 2007)

Abstract : Premenstrual Syndrome is a psychoneuroendocrine stress related disorder and more than 300 treatment modalities for PMS show that the existing remedies have not provided satisfactory help to relieve PMS. 61-points relaxation exercise (61-PR), a relatively less known *hatha yoga* technique, is a successful means of stress relaxation and is expected to relieve PMS as well. The present study was conducted on 50 clinically healthy women volunteers who were in their reproductive age group and in their premenstrual period, from which a control group (n=20) and a PMS group (n=30) based on the symptoms were identified. In both groups basal heart rate (HR/min), systolic (SBP; mmHg) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP; mmHg), electromyogram (EMG; mV), electrodermal galvanic activity (EDG; μ v), respiratory rate (RR/min) and peripheral temperature (T; °F) were recorded and the subjects were taken through a guided 61-PR. The symptoms and parameters were re-recorded after the 61-PR.

In control group, the basal HR was 82.06 ± 8.07 , SBP 111.95 ± 8.23 , DBP 76.8 ± 6.42 , EMG 4.08 ± 2.99 , EDG 9.77 ± 3.29 , RR 15.60 ± 3.77 and T was 97.86 ± 0.63 . After 10 minutes of 61-PR, HR (77.27 ± 10.85 , $P < 0.05$), SBP (107.35 ± 7.41 , $P < 0.05$), DBP (75.25 ± 7.57 , $P < 0.05$), EMG (2.07 ± 1.90 , $P < 0.05$), EDG (8.06 ± 2.87 , $P < 0.05$), RR (16.00 ± 4.12 , $P < 0.05$) fell significantly and T (97.97 ± 0.64 , $P > 0.05$) rose significantly.

In the PMS group, the basal HR was 90.61 ± 8.46 , SBP 122.5 ± 11.52 , DBP 83.53 ± 8.26 , EMG 5.79 ± 2.75 , EDG 13.14 ± 6.54 , RR 19.13 ± 3.76 and T was 93.43 ± 5.29 . After 10 minutes of 61-PR, HR (75.58 ± 10.11 , $P < 0.0001$), SBP (114.53 ± 9.70 , $P < 0.0001$), DBP (77.46 ± 8.68 , $P < 0.0001$), EMG (2.56 ± 1.77 , $P < 0.0001$), EDG (10.64 ± 5.72 , $P < 0.0001$), and RR (16.13 ± 3.76 , $P < 0.0001$) declined to a much greater extent and T (93.49 ± 5.28 , $P < 0.0001$) rose more significantly.

[□]Corresponding Author

peripheral temperature, skin conductance, electromyogram, and respiratory rate of the volunteers in health and in patients of PMS.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subject population: The present study was conducted on 50 clinically healthy female volunteers in their reproductive age who were selected out from 87 female volunteers on the basis of a 'premenstrual syndrome questionnaire' developed by the investigator, to evaluate the symptoms of subjects, under guidelines from previous research publications (10, 11) (Table III). The symptoms of the cases were charted down and analyzed. Based on the presence or absence of symptoms, the subjects were divided into two groups:

Group I : 20 subjects having no symptoms of PMS (Control Group)

Group II : 30 Subjects having symptoms of PMS (PMS Group)

The experimental protocol was explained to them and a detailed informed written consent was obtained from each subject. All the procedures were non-invasive and the study plan was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences. All the subjects had regular menstrual cycles and were not on any medication. The subjects belonged to middle socio-economic class and were living in the same geographical surroundings.

Experimental protocol: The subjects were asked to report in their premenstrual phase of the menstrual cycle. Three to 5 days prior to the expected date of menstruation, in

supine posture, a baseline record of the non-invasive systolic blood pressure (SBP; mm Hg) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP; mm Hg) from the right arm were recorded using an automated sphygmomanometer (Panasonic Omron), the heart rate (HR/min), electromyogram (EMG; mV), electrodermal galvanic activity (EDG; μ V), respiratory rate (RR/min), peripheral temperature (T; °F), were recorded simultaneously, on an automated biofeedback apparatus (J and J Engineering, USA). The electrode for HR recording was placed on the left thumb, for EMG on the forehead (Frontalis muscle), for EDG on the left index and ring finger and for T, on the tip of left middle finger. The electrodes for RR were attached to a belt which was worn around the chest.

The subject was then requested to maintain the supine position, and was guided by the investigator, through 61-PR for the next 8–10 minutes. All the variables were then again recorded. There subjective perception was also recorded after the relaxation. This assessment was done for 5 subsequent cycles.

The 61 points relaxation technique (12)

It was done in supine posture. The subjects were asked to follow the instructions given below.

- *Lie down on the bed in a comfortable posture. Feet should be about a foot apart and palms should be facing up. Use a small pillow under the head if desired. Close your eyes.*
- *Observe the commands to relax over 8–10 minutes. Concentrate for about 10 sec-*

onds upon the narrated 61 points and imagine a cool, intense, sharp blue light over these points. As your awareness reaches the narrated point, the part will start relaxing. Relax each of these points over the narrated sequence.

- Now slowly become aware of the surroundings and gradually open the eyes. Keep on lying supine while the variables are being recorded.

Analysis of data: Mean and standard deviation (\pm SD) of all observations were calculated and comparisons were done between the basal and post-relaxation values of control (mean \pm SD) and those of PMS group subjects by applying Student's 't'-test (paired). Analysis was tabulated with the help of 'Microsoft Excel' (Microsoft Office 2003). The recorded data was subjected to 'descriptive statistical analysis' and 'paired *t* test' through the 'data analysis tool' of the same software. Statistical significance was assigned at $P < 0.05$. P values were obtained by comparison of parameters of control and PMS group subjects.

RESULTS

It was found in our series that heaviness of breast- 83%, irritability- 80%, lower abdominal pain- 76%, low backache- 67%, and vaginal discharge- 53%; were the commonly present symptoms of PMS. The results are summarized in tables I and II. The mean age of subjects of PMS group was 28.48 ± 5.19 years, while age of the subjects of control group was 27.52 ± 5.19 years.

In the control group, after 10 minutes of 61-PR, HR ($P < 0.05$), SBP ($P < 0.05$), DBP ($P < 0.05$), EMG ($P < 0.05$), EDG ($P < 0.05$), RR ($P < 0.05$) fell significantly and T ($P > 0.05$) rose significantly (Table I).

In the PMS group, the basal level of T was lower and all other parameters were higher than controls. After 10 minutes of 61-PR, HR ($P < 0.0001$), SBP ($P < 0.0001$), DBP ($P < 0.0001$), EMG ($P < 0.0001$), EDG ($P < 0.0001$), and RR ($P < 0.0001$) declined to a much greater extent with a very high statistical significance and T ($P < 0.0001$) rose more significantly (Table II).

TABLE I: Parameters in Control Subjects (n=20).

Basal parameters in Control Subjects						
HR (Beats/min)	SBP (mm Hg)	DBP (mm Hg)	EMG (mV)	EDG (μ V)	RR (Breaths/min)	T ($^{\circ}$ F)
82.06 \pm 8.07	111.95 \pm 8.23	76.8 \pm 6.42	4.08 \pm 2.99	9.77 \pm 3.29	15.60 \pm 3.77	97.86 \pm 0.63
Effect of 61-PR on Control Subjects						
HR (Beats/min)	SBP (mm Hg)	DBP (mm Hg)	EMG (mV)	EDG (μ V)	RR (Breaths/min)	T ($^{\circ}$ F)
77.27 \pm 10.85*	107.35 \pm 7.41*	75.25 \pm 7.57*	2.07 \pm 1.90*	8.06 \pm 2.87*	16.00 \pm 4.12*	97.97 \pm 0.64*

The values are expressed as means \pm SD.

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; **** $P < 0.0001$.

P values are comparisons between basal parameters and the effect of 61-PR on the parameters.

TABLE II: Parameters in PMS Subjects (n=30).

Basal parameters in PMS						
HR (Beats/min)	SBP (mm Hg)	DBP (mm Hg)	EMG (mV)	EDG (μ V)	RR (Breaths/min)	T ($^{\circ}$ F)
90.61 \pm 8.46	122.5 \pm 11.52	83.53 \pm 8.26	5.79 \pm 2.75	13.14 \pm 6.54	19.13 \pm 3.76	93.43 \pm 5.29
Effect of 61-PR on PMS Subjects						
HR (Beats/min)	SBP (mm Hg)	DBP (mm Hg)	EMG (mV)	EDG (μ V)	RR (Breaths/min)	T ($^{\circ}$ F)
75.58 \pm 10.11****	114.53 \pm 9.70****	77.46 \pm 8.68****	2.56 \pm 1.77****	10.64 \pm 5.72****	16.13 \pm 3.76****	93.49 \pm 5.28****

The values are expressed as means \pm SD.

*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001.

P values are comparisons between basal parameters and the effect of 61-PR on the parameters.

TABLE III: Premenstrual Syndrome Questionnaire.

Date:
 Name/Age/Address:
 Marital Status: Married/Unmarried
 Education: Doctor/Nurse/House wife
 Age at Onset of PMS (Years)
Date of Last Menstruation
 Duration of menses (days) 26 28 30 32 More

Prementrual problems
 Lower abdominal pain: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Headache: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Low back ache: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Swelling in abdomen: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Heaviness in breast: Yes/No/Sometimes/Painful/Tender/Sore

How frequently you observe these premenstrual problems? Every cycle/Alternate cycle/Sometimes
 Any other feature you want to highlight:

Psychological symptoms
 Irritability: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Anxiety: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Lack of sleep/More sleep: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Depression/Loneliness/crying: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Mood swings: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Appetite: Increased/Decreased/Normal
 Constipation/Diarrhea: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Nausea/Vomiting: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Preference for food: Bitter/Salty/Sweet/Sour/High fat
 Frequency of micturition: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Acne Face: Yes/No/Sometimes
 Greasiness of scalp and hair: Yes/No/Sometimes

On comparing the basal parameters of both the groups, it was found that HR (P<0.05), SBP (P<0.05), DBP (P<0.05), EMG (P<0.05), EDG (P<0.05), RR (P<0.05) was significantly higher and T (P>0.05) was lower in the PMS group (Tables I and II).

Subjects reported a 'feeling better' response everytime after the relaxation.

DISCUSSION

The results of 61-PR can be compared to reports available on various other relaxation techniques as they are fairly similar. The present study shows that the basal parameters of women who suffered from PMS, were significantly higher than control subjects, hence indicating the presence of stress in them.

In the present series while on one hand, on undergoing 61-PR the alteration of said parameters in the control subjects was statistically significant, on the other hand the relaxation induced alteration of the said parameters in subjects of PMS group was

statistically highly significant. The study results suggest a normal relaxation response in control subjects, while the relaxation response in the patients suffering from PMS showed a reduction in an abnormally high basal sympathetic activity and a heightened relaxation response. These findings corroborate with the observations of Goodale et al, who have studied different forms of relaxation response in their studies, and the same was supported by reports of others (6, 7, 13).

Increased sympathetic activity as seen in stress causes an increase in the heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, muscle tension, skin conductance and rate of respiration; while predominance of parasympathetic activity reduces these (3, 6). In presence of stress, reduction in peripheral temperature, recorded as fingertip temperature, is noted, while relaxation alleviates this peripheral cooling.

The relaxation response reduces the abnormally high sympathetic activity and causes a reduction in the blood pressure; and is a proven treatment for high blood pressure (6, 13, 14). The 61-PR lowered the systolic blood pressure in the subjects of control as well as PMS group where the reduction in PMS group was statistically highly significant, reinforcing the observations of previous studies (14). The basal diastolic blood pressure of women of PMS group in the present series was significantly higher than the control subjects, in corroboration with other studies (15). After 61-PR the reduction in diastolic blood pressure in the women of PMS group was statistically highly significant, showing that 61-PR can be used as an effective tool in treating the

hypertension associated with stress disorders.

EMG is an indicator of sympathetic activity, denoting muscle tension. Since stress is stored in form of muscle tension, EMG is found to be raised in the event of stress. It was seen in the present study that basal EMG of women who suffered from PMS, was significantly higher than the control subjects. Other research workers have had similar findings of raised EMG in premenstrual syndrome (16). The relaxation response causes a reduction in the muscle tension as seen in EMG recording, by reducing the abnormal sympathetic activity. Findings in the present series on 61-PR therapy were in accordance with the results of Agarwal et al (15).

The estimation of EDG is useful in monitoring of the sweat gland activity and hyperhidrosis. Anxiety, a potent component of stress related disorders, triggers a sympathetic response leading to sweating; which is followed by a rise in electrodermal conductance of the skin. EDG is regarded as a gauge of arousal (17). The relaxation response causes a reduction in the sweating and results in reduction in the EDG, by tilting the autonomic balance in favor of parasympathetic and reducing the sympathetic tone (18). Van den Akker et al (19) found in their series that during relaxation, the raised EDG levels decrease to a greater extent in the premenstrual phase. Similar were the findings of other research workers (16).

Respiration slows down naturally during the course of relaxation (13, 20). Relaxation diminishes the activity of the sympathetic

nervous system to the bronchioles and increases parasympathetic input. Both systems together act on the smooth muscle encircling airways; causing them to constrict, and thereby increases the resistance to airflow. This coincides with the fact that we make use of less alveolar ventilation when we are relaxed (21). In the present series, on 61-points relaxation therapy, the reduction in rate of respiration in the women of PMS group was statistically highly significant. This finding supports the observations of Goodale (13).

Peripheral body temperature responds to sympathetic tone. When the sympathetic system is activated, skin (especially in the hands and feet) becomes cold because its blood supply is diminished by vasoconstriction and it becomes clammy because sweat glands flood the surface of body with moisture, which evaporates; further reducing the skin temperature. The sympathetic nervous system calms down in relaxation, thereby decreasing tone of the smooth muscle that encircles arteries and arterioles, allowing these vessels to dilate. The relaxation response, which reduces the abnormally high sympathetic tone, causes a rise in the skin temperature towards normal levels (16, 22). It has been observed by other research workers that there are finger temperature alterations in premenstrual stress (17). In the present study as well, the basal fingertip temperature of women who suffered from PMS, was significantly lower than the control subjects, indicating the presence of stress in the subjects of PMS group. Complementing the observations of previous workers, in the present series, on 61-PR, a rise in fingertip temperature was noted in the control subjects as well as those

of the PMS group, which in the latter was statistically highly significant.

It will be illogical to explain the results purely from viewpoint of sympathetic and parasympathetic activation per-se. The future studies involving 61-PR must take into cognizance, the scarcity of scientific studies and it would necessitate monitoring of other blood parameters of stress like serum cortisol levels, circulating and urinary catecholamine levels during the period of stress. The general decrement in HR, SBP, DBP, EMG, EDG, RR and the rise in T in both the groups is in concert with earlier proposition that 61-PR tilts the autonomic balance to parasympathetic dominance, which is more prominent when the subject is in stress as seen in PMS group.

Conclusion

In the present study, outstanding effects of 61-PR were seen on the physiological parameters of subjects who were suffering from PMS. 61-PR is a deeply relaxing technique, objectively and subjectively, as seen in the results and the response shown respectively, especially by the PMS group, it has no side effects, and it is easy to be done by a woman who is under physical and psychological stress of PMS. In the absence of a single effective treatment regime for this disease in modern medicine, 61-PR can safely be recommended as an adjuvant to medical therapy to women suffering from PMS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences for providing facilities to conduct this study.

REFERENCES

1. Nuernberger P. Freedom from stress: A holistic approach. Himalayan International Institute of yoga science and philosophy of the USA, Honesdale, Pennsylvania. 1981.
2. Gordon NP, Sobel DS, Tarazona EZ. Use of and interest in alternative therapies among adult primary care clinicians and adult members in a large health maintenance organization. *West J Med* 1998; 169: 153–161.
3. <http://www.copingwithstress.com>
4. Reid R. Premenstrual syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 324: 1208–1210, 1991.
5. Peeke PM, Frishett S. The role of complementary and alternative therapies in women's mental health: Primary care. *Clinics in Office Practice* 2002; 29.
6. Benson H, Frankel FH. Treatment of anxiety: a comparison of the usefulness of self-hypnosis and a meditational relaxation technique. An overview. *Psychother Psychosom* 1978; 30: 229–242.
7. Cromie WJ. Harvard gazette archives 2002. (<http://www.news.harvard.edu/gazette/>)
8. Cronje WH, Studd JWW. Premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder: primary care. *Clinics in Office Practice* 2002; 29: 5.
9. Chakmakjian ZH. A critical assessment of therapy for the premenstrual tension syndrome. *J Reprod Med* 1983; 28: 532–538.
10. Wolk SI. Premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder. Best practice of medicine 2001. (<http://merck.praxis.md/bpm/ps/pms>)
11. Mahajan KK, Maini BK. Study of symptoms associated with menstrual cycle in working women of Rohtak. *Indian Medical Gazette* 1980; 64: 350–353.
12. Frank S, Frank J. Hatha Yoga Manual II. Himalayan international institute of yoga sciences and Philosophy of the USA, 1st Edition.
13. Goodale IL, Domar AD, Benson H. Alleviation of premenstrual syndrome symptoms with the relaxation response. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1990; 75: 649–655.
14. Lee JS, Lee MS, Lee JY et al. Effects of diaphragmatic breathing on ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2003; 57: 87–91.
15. Agarwal A, Vyas N, Dube GP. Application of EMG Biofeedback practice in the management of mild to moderate hypertension. *Indian J Clin Practice* 2003; 14: 36–40.
16. Woods NF, Lentz MJ, Mitchell ES, Kogan H. Arousal and stress response across the menstrual cycle in women with three perimenstrual symptom patterns. *Res Nurs Health* 1994; 17: 99–110.
17. Psychophysiology and biofeedback: Learning discoveries psychological services. (<http://www.home.iprimus.com.au/rboon/Biofeedback.htm>).
18. Bijlani RL. Guest editorial. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 2004; 48: 1–5.
19. van den AO, Steptoe A. Psychophysiological responses in women reporting severe premenstrual symptoms. *Psychosom Med* 1989; 51: 319–328.
20. <http://stress.about.com/>
21. Coulter DH. Anatomy of Hatha Yoga. Body and breath Inc. Honesdale 2001; 556.
22. Wilson C, Emans SJ, Mansfield J, Podolsky C, Grace E. The relationships of calculated percent body fat, sports participation, age, and place of residence on menstrual patterns in healthy adolescent girls at an independent New England high school. *J Adolesc Health Care* 1984; 5: 248–253.